

| | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/998,034 | VAN DER TANG ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Joseph Chang | Art Unit 2817 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Joseph Chang. (3) _____.

(2) Michael J. Ure. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 October 2004

Time: 2:30pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claim 4 has a limitation that falls under a classic 112 (1) single means rejection

Claims discussed:

Claim 4, 12, 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Henrion US 6690243 anticipates the claimed invention but perfected by showing English translation of Foreign Application priority Data.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed that Claim 4 be canceled and incorporated into Claim 12 and 15 thus Claim 12 and 15 be in independace form to overcome 112 (1) single means rejection.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)